





CoE-MaSS Postdoctoral Fellowship Scorecard for the Selection of Proposed Projects for 2023

APPLICANT SURNAME:		Four Point Rating Scale, Weight and Descriptor				
Criteria (Weight%)	Description	Excellent	Good		Average	Below Avg
1. Background and problem statement (30%)	The background/introduction, problem statement and relevance of the proposed study are articulated clearly.	Articulated clearly.	Articulated above avg. 2		Articulated sufficiently. 1	Not well articulated. 0 Not eligible
2. Aims and objectives (10%)	The aims and objectives of the proposed study are articulated clearly.	Yes 1				No 0
3. Proposed methodology (20%)	The proposed methodology is articulated clearly AND is appropriate.	Articulated clea	culated clearly. Artic		ulated sufficiently.	No 0 Not eligible
4. References (10%)	The proposed research is cited appropriately from known literature in the field.	Yes 1				No 0
5. Publishable? (10%)	The proposed research will be publishable in a reputable ISI- accredited, peer-reviewed journal.	Yes 1	-			No 0 Not eligible
6. Priority Research Area (10%)	Research is well aligned to at least one of the twelve CoE-MaSS Focus Areas. See <u>Focus Area blurb</u> to confirm.	Yes 1				No 0 Not eligible
7. Supervision (10%)	Applicant has an appropriate Host in place that works in the CoE-MaSS Focus Area of interest.	Yes 1				No 0 Not eligible
					TOTAL (OUT OF 10)	

(the Assessor's full name), declare that there is no conflict of interest wrt this

assessment. Signed:

Date: ___/ / 2023.

The completed form should please be returned directly to CoE-MaSS@wits.ac.za

All assessments are confidential. Proposals submitted must be treated equally and with impartiality. Applications must be assessed based on the information provided in the applications so as not to unfairly advantage/disadvantage applications. Assessors must recuse themselves if any conflicts of interest arise.